COUNCIL MEETING 29 JULY 2021

QUESTIONS RECEIVED From the **Public**:

Mr David Turver to ask:

1) Why have the actuals for FY18/19 and FY19/20 <u>changed between</u> the publication of the <u>draft budget in February 2021</u> and the final budget published this month? Is there any impact on the published statutory accounts for those years?

Draft Published Feb 2021

Service Summary 2021_22							
Service Area	2018_19 Actuals	2019_20 Actuals	2020_21 Budget	2021_22 Budget			
Summary By Service							
Corporate Services	5,469,133	7,693,925	5,208,407	3,893,592			
Community Services	-4,356,913	612,948	1,030,169	2,459,232			
Place	2,155,570	2,307,271	2,098,411	2,112,951			
Technical and Environmental Maintenance	2,118,756	626,528	3,082,837	2,937,908			
Grand Total	5,386,545	11,240,672	11,419,824	11,403,683			

Final Published Jul 2021

Service Summary 2021_22							
Service Area	2018_19 Actuals			2021_22 Budget			
Summary By Service							
Corporate Services	5,102,290	7,330,086	5,208,407	3,251,95			
Community Services	-4,356,913	612,948	1,030,169	2,268,24			
Place	2,155,570	2,388,210	2,098,411	2,172,92			
Technical and Environmental Maintenance	2,118,756	545,590	3,082,837	3,673,23			
Grand Total	5,019,702	10,876,833	11,419,824	11,366,34			

2) There are big differences between the budgets agreed for the Service Areas in March and final budget published this month. What governance processes were used and who authorised such massive swings in the budget and are they in accordance with the constitutional budget procedures 3 and 5 as well as financial regulations FR10 and FR12 which limit changes unless approved by full council?

	D (+ 21 /22	Final 21 /22	
Service Area	Draft 21/22 Budget	Final 21/22 Budget	Delta
Service Area	buaget	buaget	Delta
Corporate Services	3,893,592	3,251,951	(641,641)
Community Services	2,459,232	2,268,244	(190,988)
Place	2,112,951	2,172,921	59,970
Technical and Environmental Maintenance	2,937,908	3,673,232	735,324
Total	11,403,683	11,366,348	

3) In the recently published <u>final budget for FY21/22</u>, the sum of the spending in the service areas for GL Codes 10000 – Basic Salary, 44069 – Homelessness and 90012 – Other Government Grants is not equal to the total for those GL Codes in the "Subjective" summary. In short, the budget apparently does not add up. It appears as though HANEED is missing from the service areas. What steps are being taken to make the budget internally consistent and what impact will correcting the errors have on the projected deficit?

			Delta Budget
Draft 21/22	Final 21/22	Final 21/22	to sum of
Budget	Budget	Sum of Detail	Detail
4,591,233	4,513,475	4,385,458	(128,017)
65,000	135,000	70,000	(65,000)
(485,000)	(1,379,219)	(1,338,274)	40,945
4,171,233	3,269,256	3,117,184	
	Budget 4,591,233 65,000 (485,000)	Budget Budget 4,591,233 4,513,475 65,000 135,000 (485,000) (1,379,219)	Budget Budget Sum of Detail 4,591,233 4,513,475 4,385,458 65,000 135,000 70,000 (485,000) (1,379,219) (1,338,274)

4) The recently released final budget for FY21/22 shows that the budget for HASETT – New Settlement in FY20/21 was zero. It consisted of ~£68K for employee costs and car allowances, offset by a somewhat implausible identical receipt from GL Code 44047 – Consultants Projects. In common with the other service areas, no overheads were allocated. Yet, the transparency report shows spending of £63.7K on consultants in "New Settlement" for FY20/21. What governance processes were used to authorise such a large spend against an overall zero budget, apparently in contravention of FR10 in the constitution?

M	U	C	υ	L
Date 🔻	Referen *	Amount 🕆	Supplier Name	Cost Centre Description 🗵
01/04/2020	10004329	£9,216.30	Chelgate Limited	New Settlement
01/05/2020	10004486	£870.00	Chelgate Limited	New Settlement
01/06/2020	10004713	£507.50	Chelgate Limited	New Settlement
01/07/2020	10004960	£1,087.50	Chelgate Limited	New Settlement
17/07/2020	10005073	£5,365.00	Chelgate Limited	New Settlement
03/08/2020	10005176	£2,532.50	Chelgate Limited	New Settlement
03/08/2020	10005181	£1,067.50	Chelgate Limited	New Settlement
15/09/2020	10005605	£1,322.50	Chelgate Limited	New Settlement
02/11/2020	10006253	£1,610.00	Chelgate Limited	New Settlement
4 09/12/2020	10006449	£9,900.00	Mike Allgrove Planning Ltd	New Settlement
3 15/12/2020	10006560	£3,292.50	Chelgate Limited	New Settlement
31/12/2020	10006622	£290.00	Chelgate Limited	New Settlement
24/01/2021	10006812	£500.00	Taylor & Garner Ltd	New Settlement
1 04/02/2021	10006973	£13,840.00	DigitalDinos Limited	New Settlement
3 16/02/2021	10007121	£5,438.33	Premm Design Limited	New Settlement
3 01/03/2021	10007204	£1,450.00	Chelgate Limited	New Settlement
4 26/03/2021	10007451	£5,438.33	Premm Design Limited	New Settlement
)				
1	Total	£63,727.96		
2				

5) In addition, the <u>Shapley Heath Opportunity Board papers</u> from show that four Baseline Studies had reached the status of "Finalised" by 8 March, before the end of the Financial Year. These must have cost money, but do not show on the Transparency Report nor on the Contracts Register. How much was spent on Baseline Studies and Strategy Reports in FY20/21?

Appendix 1
Update on the Evidence Base for the Shapley Heath Prospectus

Type of Evidence	Evidence	Drafted Brief	Consultant Procured	Survey/Report Underway	Survey/Report Finalised	Report to SHGCOB
Baseline Surveys	Topographical Survey and Ground Survey					
	Transport	×				
	Landscape	×	×	×		
	Agricultural Land Classification	×	×	×		
	Heritage	×	×	×		
	Flooding	×	×	×	×	
	Drainage	×	×	×	×	
	Water Cycle/Management					
	Utilities	×	×			
	Air Quality	×	×	×	×	
	Noise	×	×	ON HOLD		
	Contamination	×	×	×		
	Ecology/Biodiversity	×	×	×		
	Woodland, Trees and Hedgerows	×	×	×	×	
trategy Reports	Homes	×				
	Economy and employment					
	Retail					
	Education					
	Green Infrastructure (including Community Facilities/Sports/Leisure/Play Space)	×				
	Health Needs	×				
	Health Impact					
	Active Lifestyles					
	Climate Change Mitigation					
	Renewable Energy					
	Innovation/Future Proofing - Transport					
	Innovation/Future Proofing – Technology and Lifestyle					
	Urban Design					
	Place Stories and Branding	×	×	×		

6) The <u>agenda pack for July Cabinet</u> shows that £283K was transferred from reserves to fund Shapley Heath. How is it possible to spend £283K against a zero budget whilst running a deficit and what governance processes authorised this spend?

Transfer from Reserves		
		Funding 2020/2021 work at Providence
Housing Initiatives	26	House
		Provision released as no longer
Fleet Pond Overflow Repair	35	required
		Funding 2020/2021 work on the New
Corporate Reserve	283	Settlement at Shapley Heath
		Funding 2020/2021 work on Social
		Inclusion and Partnership -
Homelessness Grant	46	Homelessness
		Funding 2020/2021 work on Social
Homelessness Trailblazer		Inclusion and Partnership -
Grant	101	Homelessness
		Funding 2020/2021 work on Social
		Inclusion and Partnership -
Flexible Homelessness Grant	20	Homelessness
		Funding Domestic Abuse Initiatives
Domestic Abuse	65	during 2020/2021
		Dilly Lane Noticeboard works during
Dilly Lane Notice Boards	1	2020/2021
		1/20th draw down for open spaces
S106 Open Spaces	53	works in 2020/2021.

7) How much was spent in total on Shapley Heath Garden Community in FY20/21 and can you provide a detailed breakdown of that spend (and receipts) please?